



# Full Practice Authority for VA Registered Nurse Anesthetists (CRNAs) During the COVID-19 Pandemic

May 27, 2020

On April 21, 2020, the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) of the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) issued guidance to VA medical facilities to allow Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists (CRNAs) to have full practice authority (maximum breadth of practice allowable for a provider) within the scope of their license to provide anesthetic care. Specifically, it allows CRNAs to practice without physician supervision when licensed in a state that allows such practice. CRNAs are advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs) who have completed postgraduate education and training and have been certified in the provision and managing of anesthesia. This temporary change in policy has once again brought into focus the debate, mainly between the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) and the American Association of Nurse Anesthetists (AANA), about the scope of practice of CRNAs in the provision of anesthesia services at VA medical facilities. Scope of practice refers to requirements for practicing a skill or profession including types of patients or case load and practice guidelines that determine the boundaries within which a physician or other health care professional practices. This Insight briefly reviews the new directive during the COVID-19 pandemic and, to provide some context, briefly discusses the previous policy debate surrounding CRNAs delivering anesthesia services to veterans.

## **New CRNA Full Practice Authority**

The April 21 guidance allows VA medical facilities to amend bylaws and rules to permit CRNAs to practice independently if their state license permits independent practice. This full practice authority would be applicable at VA medical facilities located in 18 states that have permanently granted full practice authority, and at least 9 states (as of April 21) that have granted temporary full practice authority during the COVID-19 pandemic and were listed in the VA guidance. These states are listed in **Table 1**. There are two states (Connecticut and Pennsylvania) that granted this practice authority but were not included in the list of states in the VA guidance.

**Congressional Research Service** 

https://crsreports.congress.gov

IN11408

Table I. States with Full Practice Authority for CRNAs

Permanent Full Practice Authority <sup>a</sup>	Temporary Full Practice Authority During the COVID-19 National Emergency <sup>b</sup>
Alaska	Alabama
Arizona	Connecticut
California	Louisiana
Idaho	Maine
lowa	Massachusetts
Kansas	Michigan
Kentucky	New Jersey
Maryland	New York
Minnesota	Pennsylvania
Montana	Tennessee
Nebraska	West Virginia
New Hampshire	
New Mexico	
North Dakota	
Oregon	
South Dakota	
Washington	
Wisconsin	

**Source:** Richard Stone, Executive in Charge, Office of the Under Secretary for Health, to VHA Central Office Senior Leaders, VISN Directors, and VA Medical Center Directors, "CRNA Practice During the COVID-19 National Emergency," Memorandum, April 21, 2020. American Association of Nurse Anesthetists, "Governors Executive Orders – CRNA Scope of Practice."

- a. Some states with permanent authority to practice without supervision were granted additional temporary authority. Kansas and Wisconsin CRNAs were granted authority to practice without written collaborative agreements, which is normally required in the state. Kentucky CRNAs were granted the authority to prescribe controlled substances without a collaborative agreement.
- b. Connecticut and Pennsylvania were not listed in the VA guidance.

According to VA, this full practice authority will be in place for the duration of this public health emergency, and it is expected that VA medical facilities will revert back to their standard bylaws, which provided more limited practice authority for CRNAs, when it ends. In addition, according to VHA, allowing CRNAs to practice to the full scope of their licenses would free up physicians from the supervisory requirement and allow them to manage clinical care of COVID-19 patients, thereby potentially expanding the VA's capacity to provide COVID-19-related care. In its recent report, VA Office of Inspector General (OIG), *Determination of VHA's Occupational Staffing Shortages, FY2019*, found that 28 VA medical facilities reported severe shortages of anesthesiologists. Providing full practice authority for CRNAs is not without precedent; for example, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), for the purposes of Medicare reimbursement, has also temporarily suspended physician supervision requirements for CRNAs. Even outside the emergency period, Medicare will reimburse for unsupervised CRNA services at certain rural hospitals.

## **Background on VHA CRNA Scope of Practice Issues**

Generally, there are four different types of master-level trained Advanced Practice Registered Nurses (APRNs): Certified Nurse Practitioner (CNP), Clinical Nurse Specialists (CNSs), CRNAs, and Certified Nurse Midwives (CNMs). APRN practice authority and the scope of practice that define the types of services APRNs are permitted to provide are subject to state laws and regulations that vary among states. A number of states have approved full practice authority for APRNs, including CRNAs. However, some physician groups have advocated that supervision requirements are justified because they have completed substantially longer education and training programs than APRNs have. Generally, practice as a CRNA at a VA medical facility requires a current, full, active, and unrestricted registration as a graduate professional nurse in a state, as well as completion of an accredited nurse anesthesia educational program approved by the American Association of Nurse Anesthetists (AANA), among other basic qualifications and requirements.

Beginning around 2009, VHA began discussing changes to the VA Nursing Handbook that would grant APRNs full practice authority for independent APRN practice in VHA. In 2010, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) published a report, The Future of Nursing: Leading Change, Advancing Health, that recommended removal of scope-of-practice barriers to allow APRNs to practice to the full extent of their training and certification, which provided further impetus to this change. Furthermore, VHA planned to provide full practice authority for CRNAs across the VA health care system. The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) opposed this policy change. In May 2016, VA proposed regulations to allow "APRNs to practice to the full extent of their education, training, and certification, regardless of individual State restrictions that limit such full practice authority, except for applicable State restrictions on the authority to prescribe and administer controlled substances, when such APRNs are acting within the scope of their VA employment. The proposed rule would use the term 'full practice authority' to refer to the APRN's authority to provide advanced nursing services without the clinical oversight of a physician when that APRN is working within the scope of their VA employment." The rationale for this proposed change was to "increase veterans' access to VA health care by expanding the pool of qualified health care professionals who are fully authorized to provide comprehensive primary health care and other related health care services to veterans." In December 2016, VA published final regulations and provided CNPs CNSs, and CNMs throughout the VA health care system full practice authority "to provide advanced nursing services to the full extent of their professional competence." However, it excluded full practice authority for CRNAs under this final rule.

The current role of CRNAs in patient care is delineated in VHA Directive 1123 and is governed by each VA medical facilities' bylaws. Except for the temporary expansion of CRNA full practice authority announced on April 21, 2020, no other policy changes have taken place pertaining to CRNAs practice at VA medical facilities.

#### **Author Information**

Sidath Viranga Panangala Specialist in Veterans Policy Jared S. Sussman Analyst in Health Policy

### Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.